

AS3020: Aerospace Structures

Module 8: Some Results From Buckling (V0)


Instructor: Nidish Narayanaa Balaji

Dept. of Aerospace Engg., IIT-Madras, Chennai


November 4, 2025

Table of Contents

- 1 Prismatic Structures
 - Transverse Buckling
 - Torsional Buckling
 - The Simply Supported Case
 - Deformation Fields for a "Cross" Section
- 2 Planar Structures
 - Buckling of Plates



Chapter 7 in Sun (2006)



Timoshenko and Gere (2009)

1.1. Transverse Buckling I

Prismatic Structures

- The transverse buckling equation you should be exposed to thus far starts with an assumed kinematic field consisting of pure bending (assuming Kirchhoff kinematic assumptions):

$$u_1 = -(X_2 v' + X_3 w'), \quad u_2 = v, \quad u_3 = w,$$

and an assumed “dominant” compressive stress field:

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}^P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P}{A} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with P being the applied load and A being the sectional area.

- The axial strain for the above deformation field is

$$\mathcal{E}_{11} = u_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{1,1}^2 + u_{2,1}^2 + u_{3,1}^2 \right),$$

where we have used the full nonlinear expression for the Lagrangian strain.

1.1. Transverse Buckling II

Prismatic Structures

- We drop the $u_{1,1}^2$ term above for the small strain case (since $u_{1,1} \gg \frac{u_{1,1}^2}{2}$) and obtain the **Von Karman Strain Expression**:

$$\mathcal{E}_{11} = u_{1,1} + \frac{u_{2,1}^2 + u_{3,1}^2}{2}.$$

- The work done by the external load P can be written (in span-wise density) as

$$\Pi = -\frac{P}{A} \int_S u_{1,1} + \frac{u_{2,1}^2 + u_{3,1}^2}{2} dA$$

which, upon substitution of the above displacement field and assuming centroidal coordinate system becomes,

$$\Pi = \frac{P}{2} (v'^2 + w'^2).$$

- We stationarize the above w.r.t. v, w as follows:

$$\delta\Pi = P (v'\delta(v') + w'\delta(w')) = -P \left(\delta v \frac{d}{dX_1} v' + \delta w \frac{d}{dX_1} w' \right) = -P (v''\delta v + w''\delta w).$$

(If you find this step mysterious, please approach me!)

1.1. Transverse Buckling III

Prismatic Structures

- The transverse load contributions, therefore, are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial(\delta\Pi)}{\partial(\delta v)} \\ \frac{\partial(\delta\Pi)}{\partial(\delta w)} \end{bmatrix} = -P \begin{bmatrix} v'' \\ w'' \end{bmatrix}.$$

- In module 4, we already derived the differential form governing beams in shear as

$$E_Y \begin{bmatrix} I_{33} & I_{23} \\ I_{23} & I_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v'''' \\ w'''' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} \implies \boxed{E_Y \begin{bmatrix} I_{33} & I_{23} \\ I_{23} & I_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v'''' \\ w'''' \end{bmatrix} + P \begin{bmatrix} v'' \\ w'' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}},$$

where we have assumed that no other external source of transverse loads are applied.

- Considering the e_2 deformation in the symmetric case the above simplifies to

$$\boxed{E_y I_{33} v'''' + P v'' = 0}, \text{ which is the familiar Euler equation for buckling.}$$

- Refer to ch. 7 in Sun (2006) for the different cases herein.

1.2. Torsional Buckling

Prismatic Structures

- For the transverse case, we started with a pure bending kinematics and derived the transverse load due to compression using the work done. **What happens when we also account for torsion?**
- Here, the kinematic deformation field is

$$u_1 = \theta_{,1}\psi(X_2, X_3), \quad u_2 = -X_3\theta, \quad u_3 = X_2\theta,$$

where $\theta(X_1)$ is the twisting angle (we allow this to be a general function of X_1), and $\psi(X_2, X_3)$ is the St-Venant warping function (see Module 5).

- The axial strain (under Von Karman simplification, as before) is:

$$\varepsilon_{11} = u_{1,1} + \frac{1}{2}(u_{2,1}^2 + u_{3,1}^2) = \psi\theta_{,11} + \frac{X_2^2 + X_3^2}{2}\theta^2.$$

- Under an axial stress field of $\sigma_{11} = \frac{-P}{A}$, the work done by the load (per unit length) simplifies as

$$\Pi = -\frac{P}{A} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \psi\theta_{,11} + \frac{X_2^2 + X_3^2}{2}\theta_{,1}^2 dA = -\frac{P}{A}\theta_{,11} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \psi dA - P \frac{I_{11}}{2A}\theta_{,1}^2,$$

where we have canceled out $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \psi dA$ because net warping is zero under pure twist. I_{11} is the polar second moment of area.

- We stationarize the work potential and obtain the twist contribution from the load:

$$\delta\Pi = -P \frac{I_{11}}{2A} \delta(\theta_{,1}^2) = P \frac{I_{11}}{A} \theta_{,11} \delta\theta \implies m_p = \frac{\partial(\delta\Pi)}{\partial(\delta\theta)} = P \frac{I_{11}}{A} \theta_{,11}.$$

1.2. Torsional Buckling I

Prismatic Structures

- From the consideration of “pure twist”, the twisting moment is written as

$$M_{twist} = GJ\theta_{,1}$$

as per the notation introduced in Module 5. Recall that we had $J = I_{11} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S} \frac{\partial \psi^2}{\partial n} d\ell$ for the torsion constant.

- We ignored the straight stresses σ_{11} in Module 5 since $\int_S \sigma_{11} dA = 0$ and we argued that σ_{11} will be small. Although small, the variations are sufficient to induce additional shear flow, so let us consider it now.
- Using linear elasticity we have

$$\sigma_{11} = E_y \mathcal{E}_{11} = E_y \theta_{,11} \psi.$$

- The shear flow that the section develops is written as (same procedure as we followed in Modules 4 and 5):

$$\frac{dq}{ds} + t\sigma_{11,1} = 0 \implies q_{warp}(s) - q_0 = - \int_0^s t\sigma_{11,1} ds = -E_y \theta_{,111} \int_0^s t\psi ds.$$

1.2. Torsional Buckling II

Prismatic Structures

- Let us restrict our discussions to open sections with $q_0 = 0$ (our running integral starts from a free tip). Recall that our analysis in Module 5 led to:

$$\psi(s) = -2A_{Os}(s) = -\int_0^s p(s)ds.$$
- The twisting moment that the warping shear flow $q_{warp}(s)$ leads to is written as the full integral (denoted $\int (\cdot) ds$)

$$M_{warp} = \int p(s)q_{warp}(s)ds = \int \left(-\frac{d\psi}{ds}\right) \left(\int_0^s -E_y\theta_{,111}t\psi(z)dz\right) ds,$$

where we have invoked $p(s) = -\frac{d\psi}{ds}$ from module 5.

- Applying integration by parts we have

$$M_{warp} = E_y\theta_{,11} \int \frac{d}{ds} \left(\cancel{\psi(s) \int_0^s t\psi(z)dz} - \psi^2(s) \right) ds = -E_y \underbrace{\left(\int \psi^2(s)ds \right)}_{C_w} \theta_{,11}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{M_{warp} = -E_y C_w \theta_{,11}}.$$

The constant C_w is called the **warping constant** and is a sectional property (much like the warping function itself, torsion constant J , area A , second moments, etc.).

1.2. Torsional Buckling III

Prismatic Structures

- Now we have a contribution from pure twist, $GJ\theta_{,1}$, and a contribution from warping suppression, $-E_y C_w \theta_{,q11}$. Adding these both should give us the externally applied total moment:

$$M_{tot} = GJ\theta_{,1} - E_y C_w \theta_{,111}.$$

- For constant M_{tot} , the above can be solved to obtain $\theta(X_1)$. **This is the generalized equation governing torsional kinematics.**
- Under the presence of axial compression, $\frac{dM_{tot}}{dX_1} = m_P = \frac{PI_{11}}{A}\theta_{,11}$. Incorporating this into the equation yields:

$$E_y C_w \theta_{,1111} + \left(P \frac{I_{11}}{A} - GJ \right) \theta_{,11} = 0,$$

which is Sturm-Liouville problem of the form:

$$\theta_{,1111} + p^2 \theta_{,11} = 0, \quad p^2 = \frac{P \frac{I_{11}}{A} - GJ}{E_y C_w}.$$

1.2.1. Torsional Buckling: The Simply Supported Case I

Prismatic Structures

- The simplest example is the simply supported beam under axial compression. Transverse buckling leads to:

$$P_{cr-tr,n} = n^2 \frac{\pi^2 E_y I_p}{\ell^2}$$

where I_p is a principal second moment (i.e., eigenvalue of the $\underline{I}$ matrix).

- Under pure torsion, we obviously set $\theta = 0$ (zero twist) at the ends. But, since it is a free end, σ_{11} has to be zero everywhere. That is, $\sigma_{11} = E_y \theta_{,11} \psi(X_2, X_3) = 0$. Since ψ is non-trivial, $\theta_{,11} = 0$ represents the boundary condition.
- This is mathematically very similar to the moment-free boundary condition which is $E_y I w'' = 0$. **This is just a coincidence**, but this renders the two problems mathematically identical, yielding,

$$P_{cr-tw,n} \frac{I_{11}}{A} - GJ = n^2 \frac{\pi^2 E_y C_w}{\ell^2} \implies P_{cr-tw,n} = GA \frac{J}{I_{11}} + n^2 \frac{A}{I_{11}} \frac{\pi^2 E_y C_w}{\ell^2}.$$

- We now have **two different critical load estimates!**
 - When P exceeds $P_{cr-tr,n}$, then the beam **buckles transversely**, or bends.
 - When P exceeds $P_{cr-tw,n}$, then the beam **twists**, or undergoes torsion deformation.

1.2.1. Torsional Buckling: The Simply Supported Case II

Prismatic Structures

- A real designer must account for both. Let us set $n = 1$ and find when the twist-buckling will occur at a lower load than transverse buckling:

$$P_{cr-tw,1} \leq P_{cr-tr,1} \implies GJ \leq \frac{\pi^2 E_y}{\ell^2} \left(\frac{I_{11}}{A} I_p - C_w \right).$$

- The RHS in the above represents a limiting value for the torsional rigidity of open sections. As it is, recall that $J \sim \mathcal{O}(t^3)$ for thin walled sections, so it is not very difficult to meet the above condition.
- In practice, we find that the warping constant C_w is small/close to zero for thin walled rectangular sections meeting at a point like the following.




Fig. 5-5 from Timoshenko and Gere (2009)

1.2.1. Torsional Buckling: The Simply Supported Case III

Prismatic Structures

- So the condition can be simplified to


$$GJ \leq \frac{I_{11}}{A} P_{cr-tr,1}$$

where $P_{cr-tr,1} = \frac{\pi^2 E_y I_p}{\ell^2}$ is the Euler critical load.

- This form of the equation is valid even for other boundary conditions - you just substitute the correct critical load.


1.2.2. Torsional Buckling: Deformation Fields for a "Cross" Section

Prismatic Structures



First Two Buckling Modes in the Simply Supported Condition

Prismatic Structures



First Four Buckling Modes in the Cantilevered Condition

2.1. Buckling of Plates

Planar Structures

References I

- [1] C. T. Sun. [Mechanics of Aircraft Structures](#), 2nd edition. Hoboken, N.J: Wiley, June 2006. ISBN: 978-0-471-69966-8 (cit. on pp. [2](#), [5](#)).
- [2] Stephen P. Timoshenko and James M. Gere. [Theory of Elastic Stability](#), Courier Corporation, June 2009. ISBN: 978-0-486-47207-2 (cit. on pp. [2](#), [11](#)).